

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/756,820	01/13/2004	James M. Bettridge	5915 P 005	2373
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
PETER M. SIA VELIS, Esq.			BOLES, DEREK	
WALLENSTEI	N WAGNER & ROCKE	Y, LTD		
53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311 South Wacker Drive			3749	
Chicago, IL 60606-6630			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/756,820	BETTRIDGE ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derek S. Boles	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	January 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-21,23-29,31-40 and 42-48</u> is description and <u>8,22,30 and 41</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and <u>8,22,30 and 41</u> is/are objected to.	rawn from consideration. /are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2004 is/a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	= : :	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the cer	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Palent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/756,820

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-21, 23-29, 31-36, 38 and 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koike (5,544,012). See abstract, fig. 3 and elements 21 and 22. Regarding claims 5 and 19, see 13a. Regarding claims 6 and 20, see 16. Regarding claims 7 and 21, see 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 37, 40 and 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike in view of Lyman (3,120,166). Koike discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for the discharge ports being adjustable. Lyman discloses the presence of adjustable discharge ports. See claim 2. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Koike to include the adjustable discharge ports of Lyman for the purpose of better concentration of airflow. Regarding claim 47, see fig. 12 of Koike.

Art Unit: 3749

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 22, 30 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

D.S.B.

DEREK S. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

3/21/05